

SEPTEMBER 26-29 PHILADELPHIA DEVICE TESTING AND EVALUATION OF PNM TEST OPERATIONS VIA SIGNAL ANALYSIS

Maurice M. Garcia

Sr. Principal Software Engineer

Comcast



Introduction

DOCSIS 3.1 offers greater spectral efficiency, robustness, and multiple modulation profiles to get us closer to Shannon Limit

- How to optimize capacity?
 - Old way: use expensive test equipment, labor intensive field measurements
 - New way: use 3.1 PNM features + open source software to automate it at scale
- In this presentation, we'll address the following:
 - Is a DOCSIS 3.1 CM with enhanced PNM functionality indeed a viable alternative to lab-quality test equipment
 - How can cable operators use new PNM in DOCSIS 3.1 CMs' to optimize capacity and how close can we get to the Shannon Limit
 - Individually, as well as collectively, reliably and predictively



Method

- Great insight into network health can be provided simply by using the DOCSIS 3.1 PNM feature Downstream Receive Modulation Error Ratio Per Subcarrier (RxMER) to determine OFDM signal fidelity at the CM tuner frontend
- The analysis toolset used is an in-house developed application called OpenPNM. It is currently being used for our DOCSIS 3.1 trials in the evaluation of OFDM signal fidelity via RxMER and FEC Summary
 - Also provides OFDM modulation profiles and leverages variable bit loading



Validating the Sensitivity of the CM RxMER via Signal Analysis



Validating the Sensitivity of the CM RxMER via Signal Analysis

This test is to compare the CM's ability to measure the MER accurately at the receiver front end versus a lab quality signal analyzer. The signal analyzer was configured to perform spectrum, vector, and DOCSIS OFDM analysis.

If PNM-based metrics are to be used moving forward for critical plant repairs and improvements, this evaluation is essential to determine the performance and assessment of the OFDM signal fidelity from the perspective of the CM.



Validating the Sensitivity of the CM RxMER via Signal Analysis

| CMTS OFDM Configuration | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| OFDM 96MHz (BW) (Tests 1 – 6) | | OFDM 96MHz (BW) (Tests 7 – 11) | | | |
| Start Frequency* | 786 MHz | Start Frequency | 786 MHz | | |
| Stop Frequency* | 882 MHz | Stop Frequency | 882 MHz | | |
| PLC** | 832 MHz | PLC | 832 MHz | | |
| Profile A | 4096QAM | Profile A | 1024QAM | | |
| Cyclic Prefix | 1024 Samples | Cyclic Prefix | 1024 Samples | | |
| Roll-Off | 256 Samples | Roll-Off | 256 Samples | | |
| Single Carrier QAM | | Single Carrier QAM | | | |
| Center Frequency | 663 MHz | Center Frequency | 663 MHz | | |

Table 5 - CMTS OFDM Test Configuration based







Validating the Sensitivity of the CM RxMER via Signal Analysis

Test results were within 0.3 dB of lab vector analyzer!

| TEST | PROFILE-A | VSA MER (dB) | PNM RxMER (dB) | SNR (dB) | THRUPUT (Mbps) | LDPC CODE ITERATION |
|------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 4KQAM | 46.0 | 43.2 | 46 | 773 | 1 |
| 2 | 4KQAM | 38.0 | 37.9 | 38 | 773 | 2 |
| 3 | 4KQAM | 37.1 | 37.2 | 37 | 773 | 12 |
| 4* | 4KQAM | 36.7 | 36.4 | 36 | 773 | 15 |
| 5** | 4KQAM | 36.4 | 35.6 | 35 | 340 | 20 |
| 6 | 4KQAM | 36.18 | - | 34 | - | ALL ERRORS |
| 7 | 1KQAM | 35.0 | 34.2 | 35 | 651 | - |
| 8 | 1KQAM | 33.2 | 33.2 | 34 | 651 | - |
| 9 | 1KQAM | 32.4 | 31.3 | 33 | 651 | - |
| 10* | 1KQAM | 30.71 | 30.3 | 30 | 651 | - |
| 11 | 1KQAM | - | - | 29 | - | ALL ERRORS |

Table 6 - Sensitivity of the CM RxMER via Signal Analysis Summary Results

| PROFILE-A* | MINIMUM MER | VSA | (MM-V) DELTA | PNM | (MM-P) DELTA |
|------------|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|
| 4KQAM | 36.1 dB | 36.7 dB | .6 dB | 36.4 dB | .3 dB |
| 1KQAM | 30.1 dB | 30.7 dB | .6 dB | 30.3 dB | .4 dB |

Table 7 - Sensitivity of the CM RxMER via Signal Analysis Results



OFDM Modulation Profile Transition

- Verify demotion of OFDM profiles in AWGN
- 3 profiles used (not all D3.1 features supported yet)





OFDM Modulation Profile Transition

- Test proved CM/CMTS capability to demote CM to lower profile
- Validates the sensitivity of the CM RxMER KPI in 3.1

| TEST | PROFILE | PNM RxMER | AWGN | THRUPUT |
|------|---------|-----------|------|---------|
| | QAM** | (dB) | (dB) | (Mbps) |
| 1 | 4068 | 40.7 | - | 910 |
| 2 | 1024 | 37.36 | 37.0 | 910 |
| 3 | 1024 | 35.44 | 34.0 | 910 |
| 4 | 1024 | 31.50 | 31.0 | 910 |
| 5 | 1024 | 32.4 | 30.0 | 910 |
| 6 | 1024 | 31.54 | 29.0 | 910 |
| 7 | 1024 | 30.66 | 28.0 | 910 |
| 8 | 256 | 29.78 | 27.0 | 910 |
| 9* | 256 | 24.99 | 22.0 | 492 |
| 10 | 256 | 24.39 | 21.5 | 492 |
| 11 | 256 | 24.28 | 21.3 | 492 |
| 12 | 256 | 24.12 | 21.1 | 492 |
| 13 | 256 | - | - | - |

| TEST | PROFILE | PNM RxMER | AWGN | THRUPUT |
|------|---------|-----------|------|---------|
| | QAM | (dB) | (dB) | (Mbps) |
| 1 | 4068 | 40.24 | 40 | 910 |
| 2 | 4068 | 39.13 | 38 | 910 |
| 3 | 4068 | 38.40 | 37 | 910 |
| 4 | 4068 | 37.72 | 36 | 910 |
| 5* | 1024 | 36.88 | 35 | 910 |

Table 8 - OFDM Modulation Profile Transition 4096-QAM – 256-QAM Test Results

Table 9 - OFDM Modulation Profile Transition 4096-QAM Re-Test



- MER as proxy for SNR is now standardized across vendors
- OpenPNM application plots MER magnitude vs. subcarrier freq.
 - MER varies from Rx level variation, ingress strength variation among subcarriers, or both
 - In displaying the RxMER, user can observe any ingress or network impairments
 - The following figures are from a Comcast DOCSIS 3.1 field trial
 - CMs with a standard deviation greater than one with a skewness value less than -1 were searched to detect sharp ingress





Figure 8 - RxMER Response - Signal Ingress



Figure 9 - MER Distribution indicating Skewness - Skewness < -1 or -3.19



Comcast's corporate offices, rather than in the field, which shows the power of the centralized monitoring provided by PNM technology.

It is unknown how the signal ingress was introduced, but one can see the side statistics by using the standard deviation and skewness as key performance indicators (KPI). Using automation, CMs can be quickly screened for a potential problem.

- These are captured from a DOCSIS 3.1 field trial and indicate a type of oscillation in MER
- Both are showing a skewness of > -1, and a severity of MER response swing of a standard deviation of greater than 1 dB



Figure 11 - RxMER Response – Oscillation



Figure 12 - MER Subcarrier Distribution - Oscillation - Skewness < -1 or -0.19

- Figure 11 and Figure 13 are captured from a DOCSIS 3.1 field trial and indicate a type of oscillation.
- Both are showing a skewness of > -1, and a severity of MER response swing of a standard deviation of greater than 1 dB.

STE IN CABLE-TEC

EXPO^{'16}











Figure 15 - Multiple Signal Ingress



Figure 16 - MER Distribution – Skewness < -1 or -2.26



Figure 17 - MER per subcarrier Channel Capacity

Skewness < -1 or 2.26



RxMER Analysis MER Per Subcarrier Channel Capacity

The previous slides shows the per-subcarrier channel capacity performance from an MER point-of-view. Each subcarrier is evaluated against the required minimum MER for bit-per-symbol (b/sym) or equivalent the order of modulation, M-QAM.

Although the MER response shows multiple signals ingress that are causing a reduction of MER for a subset of subcarriers, as is seen in Channel Capacity Chart displays, the impact is limited to a reduction from 4K-QAM to 2K-QAM, which means 12 b/sym to 11 b/sym. This gives a throughput reduction of about 8.5% if the CM is demoted to a lesser modulation profile.



Conclusion

The two hypotheses of this paper were shown to be correct:

- A DOCSIS 3.1 CM with enhanced PNM functionality is indeed a viable alternative to lab-quality test equipment
- Cable operators can indeed use DOCSIS 3.1 CMs to determine how close the HFC can get to the upper bound of the Shannon Limit both individually as well as collectively





SEPTEMBER 26-29 PHILADELPHIA

Maurice M. Garcia

maurice_Garcia@cable.Comcast.com

