

#### SEPTEMBER 26-29 PHILADELPHIA

## **SDN** is not NFV

#### **Mark DelSesto**

Solution Architect

Hewlett Packard Enterprise

**#CableTecExpo** 





Essential Knowledge for Cable Professionals<sup>™</sup>

© 2016 Society of Cable Telecommunications Engineers, Inc. All rights reserved.

# Agenda

- Introduction SDN & NFV
- Use Case Example: SD-WAN
  - NFV Approach: Virtualizing the PE
  - SDN Approach: Leverage an SDN Controller
  - SDN Extension: Service Chaining & NFV
- Summary



# Network Function Virtualization (NFV) and Software-defined Networking (SDN)

#### NFV is about using virtualization techniques for core network equipment to:

1. Decrease unit cost of possibly any "network function" by :

- Moving from bespoke hardware & software to COTS
- Increase utilization of the above thru virtualization (i.e. multiple instance, software based redundancies)
- 2. Lower management cost of those functions because they are now "IT based" with more standardization & more centralization

#### SDN is about applying business logic to network behavior in dynamic fashion to:

- 1. Introduce new services faster, because SDN allows flexible (re)configuration and increased automation
- 2. Lower management costs of end to end networks, because SDN create an abstraction on which automated (orchestration) management process can be applied (vs. bespoke, per box, proprietary scripts)
- 3. Eventually lower unit cost of network functions ("commoditization"), because they are now "COTS based"

SDN and NFV are not dependent on each other But highly complementary and mutually beneficial



# **ETSI Reference Architecture**

- Management and orchestration (MANO)
- NFV Orchestrator
- VNF Manager(s)
- •Virtualized Infrastructure Manager(s)

#### Other

- •Operational support systems (OSS)
- •Element management system (EMS)





# SDN Architecture



#### 4<sup>th</sup> Release "Beryllium" Production-Ready Open SDN Platform

	ſ					
itaatura	OpenDaylight APIs REST/RESTCONF/NETCONF/AMQP					
itecture	Base Network Functions Host Tracker 12 Switch OpenFlow Forwarding Rules Mgr OpenFlow Stats Manager OpenFlow Switch Manager Topology Processing	AAA Centinel-Streaming Data Hdk Controller Shield Dev. Discovery, ID & Dev. Mgmt DOCSIS Abstraction Link Aggregation Ctl Protocol LISP Service	inhanced Network Service Messaging (Transport HeitDE Neutron Northbaund OVSOB Neutron SDNI Integration Aggregator Service Function Chaining	SHMP45DN Time Series Data Repository Unified Secure Channel Mgr User Network Interface Mgr Virtual Private Network Virtual Tenant Network Mgr.	Network Abstractions (Policy/Intent) AltO Protocol Marager Fabric as a Service Group Based Policy Service NENO Network Intent Composition	Controller Platform Services/Applications
	Data Store (Config & Operatio	onal) Service	e Abstraction Layer	Core Messagir	ng (Notifications / RPCs)	
pplications	OpenFlow ] 1.3 TTP Config OVSDB	NETCONF LISP BGP P	CAPWAP OPFLEX	SXP SNM USC SNBI	IoT Http:/coAP	Southbound Interfaces & Protocol Plugins
<u>↑ ↓</u>	OpenFlow Enabled Devices		Open vSwitches		Additional Virtual & Physical Devices	Data Plane Elements (Virtual Switches, Physical Device Interfaces)
ontrol Plane						
warding Plane						



For

#### **SD-WAN Implementation** NFV vs SDN Approach



## **SD-WAN Use Cases, Enterprise Perspective**

#### Traditional MPLS with Direct Internet Access/Secondary WAN





Source: ONUG Software Defined WAN Use Case, October 2014

#### **ONUG: SD-WAN Problem Statement**

Significant delays and cost in provisioning cycles of remote sites

- Delays in carrier access layer provisioning at remote sites can take weeks to months
- Provisioning of a T1 MPLS circuit can take anywhere from 30 to 90 days
- Operational and management complexities, resulting in provisioning and remediation inefficiencies
  - Complex router/s configuration in order to accommodate features
- High cost and low control of the wide area network
  - The costs have simply stacked up
  - Enterprises are totally reliant on the carriers and/or MSPs for every little change in the context of their wide area network
  - Reliance on the carrier has increased as have the capex and opex costs to build, support and run these large networks



Source: ONUG Software Defined WAN Use Case, October 2014

### Legacy VPN – Basic Diagram (Step 0)





#### Legacy VPN – The Challenges





## **Revolutionizing VPN Approach 1 – NFV**





#### **Step 2 – Datacenter Cloud**





#### **Step 3 – Use Overlay Tunnels**





#### **Step 3 – Use Overlay Tunnels**





#### **SDN1: SDN Controller Configures CPE ports/tunnels**



CE3

STE ISE CABLE-TEC

**EXPO**<sup>'16</sup>

### SDN2: Move the Logic & Data to the DC Cloud



CE3



## SDN2: Replacing the vPE with an SDN Switch





#### **SDN3: SDN Controller Configures CPE & SDN Switch**



CE3

STE ISE CABLE-TEC

**EXPO**<sup>'16</sup>

## SDN4: Move the Forwarding Logic to the CE



CE3

## I CABLE-TEC **EXPO**'16

#### **SDN4: Direct CE-CE tunnels**



CE3



#### **SDN5: SDN Controller agent on CPE**



CE3

#### I CABLE-TEC **EXPO**.'16



CE3



#### The Technology Journey: the four stages of NFV Maximize profitability through continued innovation

#### Speed & Innovation

Decouple	Decouple Innovation comes from more efficient operations Network functions separated from underlying hardware					
Virtualize	Capacity to scale rapidly and respond to customer demand variations virtualized infrastructure resources					
Cloudify	Unnovation comes from radically streamlined delivery, elasticity and agility compute and storage pools	WAN operated as part of the cloud aligns with compute and storage pools				
Decompose	Dynamically compose and modify services for seamless integration of network decomp	Monolithic network functions are decomposed				





• NFV: Focused on using virtualization techniques

SDN: Applying Business Logic to Network Behavior

Independent but Complimentary

Use the Right Tool for the Job





#### SEPTEMBER 26-29 PHILADELPHIA

#### **Mark DelSesto**

Hewlett Packard Enterprise Mark.DelSesto@hpe.com





Essential Knowledge for Cable Professionals<sup>™</sup>

© 2016 Society of Cable Telecommunications Engineers, Inc. All rights reserved.







